

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,589	03/29/2004	Eric Tomasetti	TR-6132 (BXTC 4021)	2100
7590 03/29/2006			EXAMINER	
Mr. Joseph B. Barrett			MCCLELLAND, KIMBERLY KEIL	
Baxter Healthcare Corporation One Baxter Parkway, DF3-2W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Deerfield, IL 60015			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/811,589	TOMASETTI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kimberly K. McClelland	1734	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1.

The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🔀 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) I will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: .

Application/Control Number: 10/811,589

Art Unit: 1734

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed March 14th, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. With respect to applicant's argument on page 9, which asserts claim 24 is allowable, because it clearly states only activating the laser once the tubing ends have been joined, examiner disagrees. However, claim 24 would require further search.

 Therefore, claim 24 requires further consideration and has not been entered.
- 3. With respect to applicant's argument on page 10 stating the Yang reference "leaves axially facing ends of the tubing exposed to the environment", examiner disagrees. Firstly, the claim language, "exposure to the surrounding environment" must be broadly interpreted. In paragraph 12 of the specification, the applicant recites, "cutting tubing exposes interior passage to the environment." However, such language has multiple interpretations and must be read as such. Secondly, Yang et al. discloses that prior to welding, the tubing sections may be sealed prior to the welding step with a film member, which would prevent the axially facing tubing ends from being exposed to the environment (See Yang et al. paragraph 0066). Though, as applicant asserts, the outer portion film member would be exposed to air, the tubing ends would not.
- 4. With respect to applicant's argument on page 11 stating "the prior art fails to teach placing two tubing sections in opposed end-to-end relation so the axially facing surfaces thereof are free from exposure to the surrounding environment when their temperatures are below the melting point", examiner disagrees. Yang et al. discloses that during preliminary laser activation, tubing sections may be melted **or** sterilized (emphasis added, See Yang et al. paragraphs 0069- 0071). The use of the alternative

Application/Control Number: 10/811,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

embodiment does not require the tubing sections to be melted prior to welding. It is known in the art that an electromagnetic beam sterilization process of plastic materials (such as tubing sections) does not require melting to occur (U.S. Patent No. 5,744,094 to Castberg et al., column 3, lines 33-42). Consequently, in the alternate embodiment wherein the laser is reenergized after the tubing sections are placed in an end-to-end relationship, the tubing sections remain at sub-melting point temperatures prior to direct contact. Thus, claims 1-23 are unpatentable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly K. McClelland whose telephone number is (571) 272-2372. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m.-5 p.m. Mon-Fri...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris A. Fiorilla can be reached on (571)272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KKM

CHRIS FIORILLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Au 1734